very interesting account of Indian Hospitals. The Association has done excellent work in raising the tone of the Nursing Staffs in the formerly much neglected Workhouse Infirmaries, and that it may continue to prosper and succeed in its good work must be the sincere wish of everyone who has the interest alike of the sick poor, and of Nurses themselves, at heart. S. G.

THIS WEEK'S VACANCIES.

ADDRESS.	SITUATION.	SALARY	APPLICATION TO
Bicester House, Oxon.	Trained Nurse (District and Private).		Mrs. Tubb, Red House.
Buckingham Nursing Home.	Two Trained Head Nurses.		Vicar.
Burton-on-Trent Nursing Institute.		£25 to £28.	Lady Superin-
East Liss, Hampshire.	Trained Nurse (Parish).		Miss A. Cardew.
Farnham, Surrey.	Parish Work (i wo ladies).		Sister Bessie, Deaconess.
Fisherton Asylum, Salis- bury.			Dr. Finch.
Glamorganshire Infir- mary.	Trained Nurse for Private Nursing.		Matron.
Great Yarmouth.	Two Trained Nurses, District.	£75, and £55.	S.A. Rising, Esq. Clerk to Xmas. Charity.
Bampstead Home Hos- pital, Parliament Hill Road.	Ward Sister (holiday work).		Lady Superin- tendent.
Hereford General Infir- mary.	Charge Nurse (Female Wards).	£20.	Matron.
Kendal Hospital.	Night Nurse.	£28.	Matron.
Kensington Infirmary, Marloes Road.	Assistant Nurses.	£16 to £18.	Matron (person- ally).
Portsmouth Royal Hos- pital.	Head Nurse Children'sWards	.£25.	Matron.
Southampton Nurses' Institute, Hampshire.	Certificated Nurses.		Hon. Sec.
St. Leonards-on-Sea, Herts, Convalescent Home.	Matron.	£50.	Rev.F.Burnside, The Parsonage.
Sunderland Nursing Institute.	Trained Nurses.		Mrs. Gregory.
Windsor Royal Infir- mary.	Trained Nurse.	£20 to £25.	Matron.
Wirral Children's Hos- pital, Birkenhead.	Night Nurse.	£23.	Lady Superin- tendent.
Wolverhampton, 6, Clifton Terrace.	Trained Nurses (Parish).		Lady Superin- tendent.

GRUMBLERS are, of all people, the most depressing. It is not necessary for you yourself to be personally found fault with to make it worse than misery to be with them. It is the atmosphere they create about themselves, the life they give you to lead. And, when their cross humour translates itself into personal displeasure and quarrelling over trifles, then are they the very scourges of their time and place, and no one can be blamed who tries to escape from them.

WATCH yourself well when anyone has wounded your sensitiveness, or you have to deal with those who oppose you; the sudden word or action that comes spontaneously will be an unmistakable indication of the true state of your interior life.— *Lobstein*.

REPORTS OF INSTITUTIONS, ETC., COMMUNICATED AND COLLECTED.

WE have received the tenth report (1889) of the North-West London Hospital, which has for its objects : (1) The Medical and Surgical treatment of necessitous persons as in and out-patients, in accordance with the bye-laws; (2) The attainment and diffusion of knowledge with regard to Ĭt is a disease; (3) The training of Nurses. satisfactory record of a year of useful work. We are glad to note the establishment of the half-crown fund, which bids fair to become popular. We note also that "the Committee have again to tender their best thanks to the Honorary Medical Staff; to Mr. Darley, the Honorary Solicitor; and Mr. C. Wilson, the Honorary Auditor, for their valuable services; also to the Press for many gratifying and helpful notices," and we wonder why the Matron and the Nursing Staff have not been included. Is this an oversight? Nor do we see any record of the work of object No. 3 as above. Should not also a larger cash balance be kept in hand. £41 4s. 7d. is not enough to commence the fresh year's work with. The drug account is also heavy; ditto printing, stationery, and postage. Beyond calling attention to these items, we have "no complaints."

THINGS are apparently a little bit "lively" at the Hampshire Nurses' Institute, if we may judge from the following letter, taken from the Hampshire Advertiser :---

THE HAMPSHIRE NURSES' INSTITUTE AND DR. LAKE. To the Editor of the "Hampshire Advertiser."

Sir,—I ask you, in the name of the Committee of the above Institution, to grant me space for three remarks on Dr. Lake's reply to my communication in your issue of the 22nd inst. :—

I.—We did not publish what I called Dr. Lake's "angry letter," simply because, in the opinion of the Committee, all the particulars germane to the matter at issue were plainly given in the narrative preceding the correspondence.

ine particulars germane to the matter at issue were particulars germane to the matter at issue were particulars given in the narrative preceding the correspondence. II.—The fact that Dr. Lake extracted from Nurse an "acknowledgment" that she had slandered him is quite new to me and to the Committee. When Dr. Lake made his complaint to the Committee, nothing of the kind was stated by him, nor did he, when the Nurse was called in, make such a statement in her presence. It is equally certain that, when he presented his case before the Medical Society, nothing was said by him on the subject of a confession by the Nurse.

III.—No man knows better than Dr. Lake that he it was who appealed to the judgment of the Medical Society on his charge of unfair treatment on the part of the Committee, and that my part in the matter, as Dr. Lake well knows, and as every Member of the Society knows, consisted in repelling his attack, and that if the Society declined to adopt my view of the case, the members equally refused to support his. That, in fact, they, properly, as I think, declined to give an



