had under their charge 128 cases. In the summer a bazaar was held for the purpose of providing additional accommodation. Plans were prepared, but the question having arisen as to the advantages of having an entirely separate building, the Council considered that the interests of the Institution would be best served by temporarily investing the proceeds of the bazaar and having the matter considered at the annual meeting, and that the question should then be adjourned for a month or so in order that it might be most carefully considered in all its bearings.

A VERY lengthy discussion took place upon this very simple matter. The Committee had obtained  $\pounds$ 600 to enlarge the present house, and had then discovered that there were many and obvious reasons why they should in preference obtain new and altogether larger premises elsewhere, which would necessitate a further outlay of some  $\pm 1,600$ . Against this, it was urged that the  $\pounds$ 600 had been asked for and obtained, to enlarge their present house, and finally the discussion was adjourned for a month. I hope the Committee will take competent professional advice, and be guided by it. They would then learn that wherever nursing institutions have been wanted at all, the Nurses have been wanted more and more, as their usefulness became better known, and that the staff has had therefore to be continually increased, and the accommodation of their home consequently constantly added to, as time went on. The Committee would be wise, therefore, to obtain not only a larger house than they require for their immediate needs, but one also which could be enlarged, if necessary, in future. The public clearly gave this  $\pounds 600$  to increase the accommodation for the Nursing Staff, and it is therefore a quite immaterial point, in that connection, whether the money be spent on enlarging an old house or in obtaining a new one, whereas in this case, judging from the facts with which I have been supplied, the advantages of the latter plan are obvious.

I AM told that the final meeting of the Ladies' Committee on Women's Work of the Chicago Exhibition was held on March 24th, at the committeeroom of the National Health Society, 53, Berners Street, W., under the presidency of Princess Christian. Amongst the members present were the Duchess of Abercorn, the Marchioness of Salisbury, the Countess of Aberceen, Baroness Burdett-Coutts, Lady Aberdare, Lady Knutsford, Lady Galton, Mrs. David Carmichael, Mrs. Fawcett, Mrs. Bedford Fenwick, Mrs. Gordon, Mrs. Priestley, Mrs. Roberts Austen, Miss Emily Shaw Lefevre, Miss Gurney, and Miss Kingsley, and amongst the ladies from the sub-committees were Miss de Pledge, Mrs. Lakin, and Mrs. Holmes-Spicer, who have taken part in the work of the Nursing Section. The secretary's report showed that the undertaking of the committee had, so far, been successfully accomplished, and that the exhibits were safely on their way to Chicago. A vote of thanks to Princess Christian for presiding over the Committee on Women's Work for the Chicago Exhibition was proposed by the Marchioness of Salisbury and seconded by the Baroness Burdett-Coutts. Her Royal Highness proposed a vote of thanks, which was seconded by the Duchess of Abercorn, to Sir Frederick Abel and other officers of the Imperial Institute for allowing them space in the Institute to show the various exhibits, and also to Miss Lankester, the secretary.

THERE was a large audience of Nurses at the meeting of the R.B.N.A. on Thursday last, at 3, Hanover Square, about forty visitors, besides a large number of members being present, when Dr. CAIGER gave a most interesting and eloquent address on "Fevers and their Nursing," which I hope will next week be published in these columns, in order that a wider circle of Nurses may share in the advantage possessed by those who were able to be present. Many of the audience, I noticed, were taking copious notes, and all seemed very greatly interested, as well they might be. Miss ISLA STEWART made an excellent chairwoman, and in a short speech, which was much applauded, proposed a vote of thanks to Dr. CAIGER for his lecture, which, like a similar expression of gratitude proposed by Dr. BEDFORD FENWICK to Miss STEWART, was warmly accorded by the meeting. It was mentioned that the next lecture will be given by Dr. CAIGER, on April 27, at 8 p.m., on the "Nursing of Fever Patients," at 20, Hanover Square, and I would earnestly advise, as many of my readers as can do so, to obtain cards of admission from the Secretary of the Association.

THE following letter appeared in the daily press last week, and as son. ~isapprehension evidently exists concerning the scope of the Cholera Nursing Committee—it is just as well it should be made clear :—

\*

SIR,—The comments on Her Royal Highness the Princess Christian's scheme for enrolling a body of Nurses for attendance on cases of cholera, which have appeared in some of your contemporaries in London and the provinces, have led to misapprehensions on the subject which might lead to confusion when the time for

The "NURSING RECORD" has a Larger Sale than any other Journal devoted solely to Nursing Work.

The "NURSING RECORD" has a Larger Sale than any other Journal devoted solely to Nursing Work.



